Draft version October 17, 2016
Preprint typeset using LaTeX style AASTeX6 v. 1.0

arXiv:1610.04270v1 [astro-ph.HE] 13 Oct 2016

OBSERVATIONS OF ROTATING RADIO TRANSIENTS WITH THE FIRST STATION OF THE LONG WAVELENGTH ARRAY

G.B. Taylor[1]
Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque NM, 87131, USA

K. Stovall
Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque NM, 87131, USA

M. McCrackan[2]
Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque NM, 87131, USA

M.A. McLaughlin and R. Miller
Department of Physics and Astronomy, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV 26506-6315, USA

C. Karako-Argaman
Department of Physics, McGill University, 3600 University Street, Montreal, QC H3A 2T8, Canada

J. Dowell and F.K. Schinzel[3]
Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque NM, 87131, USA

[1]Greg Taylor is also an Adjunct Astronomer at the National Radio Astronomy Observatory
[2]Now at Department of Astronomy, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, USA
[3]Now at the National Radio Astronomy Observatory, Socorro NM, 87801, USA

ABSTRACT

Rotating Radio Transients (RRATs) are a subclass of pulsars first identified in 2006 that are detected only in searches for single pulses and not through their time averaged emission. Here, we present the results of observations of 19 RRATs using the first station of the Long Wavelength Array (LWA1) at frequencies between 30 MHz and 88 MHz. The RRATs observed here were first detected in higher frequency pulsar surveys. Of the 19 RRATs observed, 2 sources were detected and their dispersion measures (DMs), periods, pulse profiles, and flux densities are reported and compared to previous higher frequency measurements. We find a low detection rate (11%), which could be a combination of the lower sensitivity of LWA1 compared to the higher frequency telescopes, and the result of scattering by the interstellar medium (ISM) or a spectral turnover.

Keywords: pulsars:general – radio continuum:stars

1. INTRODUCTION

Rotating Radio Transients (RRATs) are a subclass of pulsars whose emission is only observed intermittently, with only single pulses being detected. These single pulses can be separated from one another on timescales ranging from minutes to hours. In general RRATs are not detected through periodicity searches as is the case with other pulsars (McLaughlin et al. 2006). Therefore, single pulse searches are needed to identify them. However, the ability to detect RRATs depends upon the instrument, the frequency and the length of the observations, and some RRATs may even be detectable as normal pulsars in periodicity searches for some combinations of parameters.

RRATs were first discovered in a re-analysis of data from the 1.4 GHz Parkes Multibeam Pulsar Survey (PMPS), which identified 11 RRATs with single pulses with pulse widths ranging from 2 ms to 30 ms, peak flux densities between 0.1 Jy and 3.6 Jy, and detected pulses ranging from only 4 up to 229 (Manchester et al. 2001; McLaughlin et al. 2006). More than 100 RRATs have been discovered thus far with most being found in the blind pulsar surveys carried out by major radio observatories[1]. Some notable surveys include the Green Bank Telescope's 350 MHz Drift Scan Survey and its Northern Celestial Cap Pulsar Survey (Lynch et al. 2013; Karako-Argaman et al. 2015), the High Time Resolution Universe Survey (Burke-Spolaor et al. 2011), Arecibo's 327 MHz Drift-Scan Pulsar Survey (Deneva et al. 2013), as well as in subsequent re-analyses of the PMPS (Keane et al. 2010, 2011).

The mechanism behind the transient pulsing of RRATs has not yet been determined, with many models having been put forth. The inferred periods of the still small fraction of RRATs that have measured periods are generally longer than the typical periods of canonical pulsars, with Karako-Argman et al. tentatively finding some evidence that pulsars with periods longer than 200 ms are more likely to be detectable only in single-pulse searches than pulsars with shorter periods (McLaughlin et al. 2006; Keane et al. 2010; Karako-Argaman et al. 2015). It has been suggested that RRATs are old pulsars near the end of their pulsing lifetime and turn off in a sporadic manner (Zhang et al. 2007). Others suggest RRATs are pulsars whose emission is regulated by a surrounding debris field (Cordes & Shannon 2008), or that they are fundamentally similar to nulling pulsars (Burke-Spolaor & Bailes 2010). Another proposed model is that RRATs are pulsars which emit single bright pulses in addition to a distribution of weaker single pulses (Weltevrede et al. 2006).

If RRATs are actually a distinct type of pulsar that forms independently of regular pulsars, they should be counted in addition to the number of other pulsars. Given the need for the birth rates of the various populations of neutron stars to add up to the supernova rate in the Galaxy, the presence of RRATs would require a substantial increase in that supernova rate. Indeed, the maximum supernova rate was estimated by Keane et al. (2010) to be 3 century^{-1}, whereas the neutron star birthrate required to account for RRATs alone as a distinct class was estimated to be 5.8 century^{-1}.

Using the first station of the LWA (LWA1), we carried out observations of 19 RRATs at frequencies between 30 MHz and 88 MHz. This is the first time that RRATs have been studied at such low frequencies, and their properties, including burst rates, flux densities, pulse shapes, and spectral indices are consequently all unknown. The LWA1 possesses both high time and frequency resolution, thereby allowing the pulse properties and dispersion measures (DMs) of detectable pulses to be determined very precisely. For detected RRATs, the LWA1 has more available observing time than many other instruments, so timing observations can be carried out readily.

We describe two observing campaigns and the data reduction in §2, followed by the details of the two detected RRATs individually in §3 and the consider the implications in §4, with concluding remarks in §5.

2. OBSERVATIONS

The observations reported here were taken with the first station of the Long Wavelength Array (LWA1; Taylor et al. 2012). The observatory consists of two stations, LWA1 and LWA-SV. For this work we used LWA1, which is co-located with the Jansky Very Large Array. This station utilizes 256 stands of orthogonal dipoles and can observe at any frequency between 10 MHz and 88 MHz with a bandwidth of 16 MHz. Four independent steerable beams have two frequency tunings each and a beamwidth of 2.2 degrees at 74 MHz. With its high time and frequency resolution, the LWA is particularly well suited to observations of time varying phenomena such as pulsars and RRATs. More than 60 regular pulsars have been re-detected with the LWA with 4 of these being millisecond pulsars (Stovall et al. 2015). Given the observatory's low frequency range, where pulses will experience scattering and dispersion effects to a much greater degree than in higher frequency observations, LWA1 can make very accurate studies of the time-varying properties of the interstellar medium (ISM) with uncertainties for the DM values in the range of 0.001 pc cm^{-3}.

Two different observing campaigns of RRATs were carried out with the LWA1, with the first taking place from mid-2013 to early 2014 and the second campaign taking place from August 2014 to March 2015. In the first observing session (LWA project code LM002) we selected 10 RRATs with DMs less than 50 pc cm^{-3}, as the scattering timescale given by Bhat et al. (2004) at 64 MHz is over 100 milliseconds at this DM, much larger than the typical width of a RRAT's pulse. These RRATs had also been confirmed in multiple observations with different telescopes. A single LWA1 beam was utilized, which provided an effective central frequency of 72 MHz and a bandwidth of 32 MHz. The bandwidth of the individual channels used for analysis was 4.8 kHz. Each observation was 2 hours in length and any

[1] See the RRATalog at http://astro.phys.wvu.edu/rratalog/

Table 1. RRATs Observed with LWA1

Source	DM (pc cm^{-3})	Period (s)	Rate (hr^{-1})	Ref.	Freq. (MHz)	BW (MHz)	Time (hr)	Date† (YY-MM-DD)
J0054+69	90.3	–		1	57	60	1	14-11-08
J0054+66	14.554	1.390	0.7	2,3	57	60	1	15-01-17
					57	60	3	14-12-28, 23, 20
					57	60	2	14-12-18, 17
J0103+54	55.605	0.354		1	57	60	1	14-11-26
					57	60	1	14-10-05
J0201+7005	19.998	1.349		1	72	32	2	13-10-17
					72	32	2	14-01-11
					57	60	2	14-12-19, 14-10-13
					57	60	2	14-11-17, 13
					57	60	3	14-09-29, 24, 21
J0332+79	16.67	2.056		1	72	32	2	13-10-17
					57	60	1	15-01-25
					57	60	1	14-10-06
J0447−04	29.83	1.188		1	72	32	2	13-10-17
					57	60	1	15-01-24
					57	60	1	14-11-10
J0628+09	88	1.241		4	57	60	1	14-11-09
J0957−06	26.95	1.724		1	72	32	2	14-01-08
					57	60	1	15-02-06
					57	60	1	14-12-27
J1439+76	22.29	0.948		1	72	32	2	14-01-08
					57	60	1	15-02-05
					57	60	1	15-01-19
J1538+2345	14.909	3.449		1	72	32	2	14-01-08
					57	60	1	15-02-27
					57	60	1	15-02-07
					57	60	1	15-01-16
J1611−01	27.21	1.297		1	72	32	2	14-01-08
					57	60	1	15-07-17
J1610−17	52	–		5	57	60	1	15-02-17
					57	60	1	15-01-14
J1623−0841	60.42	0.503		6	57	60	1	15-02-18
					57	60	1	15-01-15
J1705−04	42.951	0.237		1	72	32	2	14-01-08
					57	60	1	15-07-18
J1753−12	73	0.405		5	57	60	1	15-02-19
J1850+15	24	1.383		5	57	60	1	14-12-21
J1944−10	31.01	0.409		1	72	32	2	13-07-23
					57	60	1	15-07-16
J2225+35	51	0.94		7	57	60	1	14-10-18
					57	60	1	14-09-19
J2325−0530	14.963	0.869	21	1,3	72	32	2	13-07-23
					72	32	2	14-01-11
					57	60	2	14-12-19, 14-11-14
					57	60	3	14-10-31, 26, 23
					57	60	3	14-10-21, 20, 19

References: (1) Karako-Argaman et al. 2015 (2) Hessels et al. 2008 (3) this work (4) Deneva et al. 2009 (5) Burke-Spolaor & Bailes 2010 (6) Boyles et al. 2013 (7) Shitov et al. 2009

† Epochs in YY-MM-DD format with additional entries corresponding to days in the same month.

detections were followed up with additional observations.

The second observing campaign (LWA projects DM001 and LM003) selected an additional 9 RRATs to be observed along with the 10 from the first observing run with less stringent constraints on their DMs. All of these additional targets have DMs less than 100 pc cm^{-3}. While the first observing run selected RRATs without previous timing solutions, many of those in the second observing run have measured periods allowing for comparisons with higher frequency results. The nature of the observations were changed to more resemble the style utilized for the LWA1's already successful pulsar observations. Two of the beams were used in the split filter setting with central frequencies of 35.1 MHz, 49.8 MHz, 64.5 MHz, and 79.2 MHz and an effective bandwidth after combination of 60 MHz. Each RRAT was observed with 1 hour observations and any detections were followed up with additional observations. All observations of this run were scheduled at night across transit to limit the level of radio frequency interference (RFI). All 19 RRATs targeted in either campaign are listed in Table 1 along with their measured DMs and periods from discovery, follow up, or from our observations. For the objects that we detect we also provide the low frequency burst rate.

The data reduction was carried out at the LWA's User Computing Facility (LWAUCF), a computing cluster consisting of 6 nodes located at the nearby VLA control building, with the PRESTO software package[2] being used for the analysis (Ransom 2001). The raw data from each frequency tuning were first converted to fits format using *write2psrfits.py* and the resulting files combined to yield a single fits file for all frequency tunings (see Dowell et al. 2012). This combination of the beams provides an effective increase in bandwidth, thereby increasing the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of the pulses. RFI was then flagged utilizing *rfifind* and the data were dedispersed into 128 subbands at trial DMs spanning 10 pc cm^{-3} with a step size of 0.001 pc cm^{-3} centered on the DMs of their discovery detections. This

[2] http://www.cv.nrao.edu/∼sransom/presto

large DM range was searched in order to ensure any real pulses were not missed due to a larger error than that which is reported in the discovery detection and to determine the false-positive detection rate resulting from any remaining RFI. Due to the low observing frequency of these observations, the dispersion of the pulses is significant even for low DMs, so a small step size is required. This results in a smearing due to an incorrect DM of roughly 1 millisecond across the band. The results were searched using both single pulse search and periodicity search techniques using the routines *single_pulse_search.py* and *prepfold* respectively.

Again, as a result of the $\nu^{-4.4}$ frequency dependence of scattering effects of the ISM, pulses in the LWA1's frequency band are scattered by a factor of 2000 times more than a pulse at 350 MHz (Cordes 2002). It is possible that the pulses from the RRATs might be too scattered to be detected by the standard match filtering. For this reason a modified version of *single_pulse_search.py*, which performs a matched-filter search using Equation 3 from Karuppusamy et al. (2012) with $\tau_{\rm d}$ values ranging from 2 to 42 ms, was also utilized to improve the chance of detection of some of the higher DM RRATs that were observed. This technique has also been successfully applied to detect giant pulses from the Crab pulsar as described by Eftekhari et al. (2016).

To estimate the flux density of the detected pulses we employed the radiometer equation which relates the signal-to-noise to the integration time, bandwidth, and system equivalent flux density (SEFD) of the telescope. The SEFD of the LWA1 varies with observing frequency, projected area of the array as a result of the source's elevation and the proximity to bright sources such as the Galactic Plane. We used the results of Schinzel & Polisensky (2014) to estimate the response of the LWA1 at varying zenith angles, and from that derive the flux densities for measured pulses. The uncertainty we conservatively assigned to this method is ±50% (Stovall et al. 2015).

3. RESULTS

Of the 19 RRATs observed with the LWA, just 2 confirmed detections have been made, with one from the 2013 – 2014 observing run and one from the 2014 – 2015 observing run. The RRATs that were detected are J0054+66 and J2325−0530, both of which were originally discovered with the Green Bank Telescope (GBT) in its 350 MHz sky surveys. These will be addressed individually below.

3.1. *J0054+66*

This RRAT was one of the 8 new RRATs selected for observing in the second observing session, and was discovered with the GBT in its Survey of the Northern Galactic plane for Radio Pulsars and Transients at 350 MHz. Its initial GBT detection DM was $\sim$ 14.5 pc cm^{-3} with no reported error, with a burst rate ranging from $\sim$4 to 40 hr^{-1}, and a period of 1.390 s (Hessels et al. 2008). The pulse profile from the GBT had a width on the order of 10 ms. A total of 6 observations of 1 hour with the LWA1 have been carried out on this RRAT, with 3 yielding detections and the others no observable emission. To be classified as a detection we required a minimum S/N of 6, and that the S/N vs DM peaked at a reasonable value for the DM. The flux density of the faintest detectable pulse for the combined 60 MHz band was $\sim$50 Jy. The number of detectable pulses in each of the observations varied, with two of the combined bandwidth (60 MHz) observations yielding only one detected pulse per observation and one detecting 2 pulses as is illustrated in Fig. 1. We estimate the burst rate from these observations to be 0.7 hr^{-1}.

The detections at the other individual frequencies without the combined beam also vary in the number of pulses detected, with some finding none, whereas the 64.5 MHz tuning of the same observation that detected 2 pulses in the combined bandwidth also detected 2 pulses within the hour long observation. The pulse profile for a single bright pulse observed with the LWA1 is shown in Fig. 2.

The DM measured with LWA1 did not change significantly from observation to observation or pulse to pulse in those observations that had multiple detections, with a DM of 14.554 ± 0.007 pc cm^{-3}. To estimate the error we fit the signal-to-noise vs DM curve to Eq. 12 from Cordes & McLaughlin (2003) and took the error to correspond to the range in which values are 1σ below the peak. However, we note that significant profile evolution, whether it is intrinsic or due to scattering, would bias this DM value (e.g. Hassall et al. 2012). The average S/N, mean flux and pulse width for J0054+66 are listed in Table 2. The signal-to-noise in the individual tunings was not sufficient to allow measurements across the band. The variations in S/N are likely intrinsic to the RRAT and perhaps modulated by the ionosphere and/or ISM. The elevation angle of the RRAT was the same for all the observations, meaning that the LWA1's sensitivity should have remained nearly constant for each detection. The variations in S/N and pulse widths result in a derived peak flux density that is also variable, with values between about 100 Jy and 160 Jy for the combined bandwidth.

PSR J0054+66 was not detected in any periodicity searches folded at the period known from the GBT observations with the LWA1. An additional 2 hour observation was performed with the intention of improving the detectability in a



Figure 1. LWA1 detection of J0054+66 showing two pulses detected with the point size scaling with S/N on the bottom, distribution of the number of pulses (over a range of DM) with S/N at top left, the distribution of the number of pulses with DM in the middle, and the distribution of S/N with DM at top right.



Figure 2. The pulse profile of a single bright pulse from J0054+66 at a center frequency of 57 MHz with a bandwidth of 60 MHz.

Table 2. Pulse Properties for J0054+66

Frequency (MHz)	FWHM (ms)	Peak Flux (Jy)	Mean Flux (Jy)	Max. S/N
57	11 ± 2	70 ± 35	0.6 ± 0.3	8.3

Table 3. Pulse Properties for J2325−0530

Frequency (MHz)	FWHM (ms)	Peak Flux (Jy)	Mean Flux (Jy)	S/N
35.1	23.1 ± 2.2	150 ± 75	3.9 ± 1.9	8.8
49.8	12.8 ± 0.9	250 ± 125	3.8 ± 1.9	14.1
64.5	7.8 ± 0.6	300 ± 150	2.8 ± 1.4	13.2
79.2	6.8 ± 0.9	240 ± 120	1.9 ± 1.0	9.8

periodicity search and to get a better estimate on the pulse rate, but no single pulse or periodic emission were detected in this longer session. However, the period derived from the lowest common denominator method is consisitent with the period reported from the GBT detection.

3.2. *J2325−0530*

PSR J2325−0530 was the first RRAT to be detected with the LWA and has been detected in both observing campaigns. Due to the wider bandwidth of the second campaign, the S/N and burst rate are much higher than in the first observing run, as is shown in Fig. 3. This RRAT was originally found in the 2007 GBT's 350 MHz Drift Scan Survey described previously, with a DM of 14.966 ± 0.007 pc cm^{-3} at a discovery S/N of 13. The burst rate in the 350 MHz GBT and the 110 MHz LOFAR observations were 46 ± 9 hr^{-1} and 52 ± 8 hr^{-1}, respectively (Karako-Argaman et al. 2015). In comparison, the LWA1's detection DM was 14.963 ± 0.006 pc cm^{-3}, with a peak S/N of 19. For the faintest detectable pulses using the combined bandwidth we used a S/N of 6 corresponding to a flux density of ∼60 Jy. The pulse rate was also much higher than for the detection of J0054+66, with the combined bandwidth observations yielding a rate of 21 hr^{-1}, compared to the first observing run's 12 hr^{-1}. Individual pulses have similar shapes with a scattering tail out to ∼30 milliseconds at 35.1 MHz as shown in Fig. 4.

Pulse widths for a typical pulse in the individual frequency tunings (Fig. 5) were found and are listed along with their S/N, pulse width, and flux density in Table 3. The spectral index of the mean flux density for the pulse shown in Fig. 5 is ∼ -0.7. J2325−0530 was not detected in the periodicity searches that were folded at the period from the GBT observations and carried out on each of the detection observations, despite its high pulse rate and S/N. A period of 0.869 s was found, however, by determining the least common multiple value between detected pulses. This agrees with the period found from timing observations given in Karako-Argaman et al. (2015).

4. DISCUSSION

The detection of only 2 out of 19 RRATs observed is likely a result of the low observing frequency of the LWA1 station, which makes detections difficult due to the effects of pulse broadening by interstellar scattering, in addition to the spectral properties and burst rates of the targets, and the limited sensitivity of the LWA1. We note that the faintest detectable pulses for the LWA1 are at the level of ∼50 Jy compared to ∼0.2 Jy for the GBT at 350 MHz (Karako-Argaman et al. 2015). We do not believe that RFI was an issue, especially in the 2014 – 2015 observing campaign, where we carried out the observations at night-time and most runs were almost entirely devoid of interference other than some short duration burst-like signals across all DMs. The rare unusable observations in the second run were simply re-observed as the RFI was not persistent. One observation of J0957−06 in the first run tentatively detected a pulse at nearly the correct DM, but the nature of the pulse could not be confirmed. The two observations of this RRAT in the second run did not detect any pulses at the DM of the pulse in the first run.

Reasons behind the non-detections can be inferred by investigating the properties of the RRATs that were observed. Both of the detected RRATs have DMs less than 20 pc cm^{-3} with somewhat low detected pulse rates compared to their



Figure 3. LWA1 detection of J2325−0530 showing pulse detection with the point size scaling with S/N on the bottom, distribution of the number of pulses with S/N at top left, the distribution of the number of pulses with DM in the middle, and the distribution of S/N with DM at top right.



Figure 4. LWA1 profiles at a center frequency of 57 MHz and with 60 MHz bandwidth for five strong pulses from J2325−0530 showing a scattering tail.



Figure 5. LWA1 profiles for a single pulse from J2325−0530 shown at four different frequencies. The line next to the frequency label indicates the timescale of the interchannel smearing due to dispersion.

pulse rates in the GBT detections. This suggests that, not surprisingly, RRATs with DMs greater than 20 pc cm^{-3} (which make up 14 of the 19 sources observed) will be difficult to detect at these low frequencies due to scattering by the ISM. The non-detections may also be explained by RRATs having flatter spectral indices, thus reducing the flux densities, and therefore observed burst rates, at these frequencies. The degredation in sensitivity with DM can be seen in Fig. 6 in which we plot the detected flux density at 57 MHz, or the range of expected flux densities. To predict the range in flux density we assumed a spectral index of between −1 and −3. Only five RRATs had reported flux densities from higher frequency observations. Over this we have plotted the sensitivity of LWA1 to a pulse with a width of 1, 10 or 50 msec assuming a system equivalent flux density (SEFD) for the LWA1 of 9100 Jy, although in practice due to elevation effects the SEFD for our targets ranged between 6000 and 16000 Jy. From this plot we can see that our two detections are both above the 10 msec sensitivity of the LWA1. The predicted fluxes for the five non-detections for which flux measurements are available at high frequencies, however, fall below the LWA1's threshold, assuming a spectral index of −1.4 (Bates et al. 2013) and scattering as predicted by the NE2001 model (Cordes & Lazio 2002). The spectral index must be much steeper, or scattering less significant, in order for these sources to be detectable with the LWA1.

The idea of RRATs being too dim to be observed with the LWA is somewhat supported by the low DMs of those that we actually detected, although DM is also correlated with scattering which is perhaps the more likely culprit. While pulsars of DMs up to 50 pc cm^{-3} have been detected with the LWA (Stovall et al. 2015), these were with periodicity searches where the sensitivity is boosted through the folding of the data; no such sensitivity advantage exists for single pulse searches. With that said, none of the RRATs that have been observed in periodicity searches were detected either, including J1850+15 and J0628+09, both of which are now also classified as regular pulsars based on periodicity searches at higher frequencies. J0628+09 has a very high DM of 88 ± 2 pc cm^{-3}, and a predicted scattering timescale of 824 milliseconds (Cordes & Lazio 2003) making detection of either single or regular pulses with LWA1 unlikely. Although the DM of J1850+15 is less than 10 pc cm^{-3} higher than our detected RRATs, the steep dependence of scattering on DM (Bhat et al. 2004) implies a scattering timescale four times larger, suggesting that scattering could have prevented our detection of this pulsar.

With few studies having been done at frequencies below 200 MHz, it is difficult to make conclusions about the intrinsic properties of RRATs at these low frequencies. However, there have recently been studies of normal pulsars at low frequencies, which provide a useful comparison. Normal pulsars often have spectral turnovers around 100 to 200 MHz and also have significant profile evolution. In some cases the profile evolution is intrinsic while in others it is due to scattering (e.g. Stovall et al. 2015). It may be that some RRATs have a spectral turnover or a flatter than average spectrum, but it is also possible to attribute the non-detections to scattering in the ISM, given average spectral indices. Additional observations of low DM RRATs could help to see if some RRATs enter more active phases. Also the addition of the LWA-SV station and VLA antennas would triple the sensitivity of the LWA. Indeed, the largest difficulty currently in this study is characterized by the high errors on the measurements of the flux densities, pulse widths, and consequently the spectral indices. Improving upon both the accuracy of the fitting and the sensitivity would increase the value of these measurements.



Figure 6. Peak flux densities at 57 MHz for the two detected RRATs in our sample (blue points) and estimated flux densities for non-detections (red points) calculated using published mean peak flux densities and assuming a spectral index of −1.4. The error bars shown for each non-detection represent the range of estimated flux densities corresponding to assumed spectral indices of −1 to −3. Also shown are the sensitivity curves of the LWA1 against DM for pulse widths of 1, 10, and 50 ms with the effects of scattering estimated as in Cordes & Lazio (2002).

5. CONCLUSIONS

We have observed 19 known RRATs at between 30 MHz and 88 MHz with LWA1, substantially lower in frequency than their discovery observations. Of the targets observed and analyzed in both single pulse and periodicity search routines, only 2 RRATs were detected and the pulse widths and flux densities were estimated for each. We calculated the spectral index of J2325−0530 within the LWA band resulting in a value of ∼−0.7, which is somewhat flatter than for measurements of typical pulsars made at higher frequency (Bates et al. 2013), possibly indicating there may be a spectral turnover in J2325−0530 as is seen in many normal pulsars. We note that these measurements are taken from a small number of bright pulses, however they are in agreement with the spectral index distribution of pulsars reported by Stovall et al. (2015), which showed a spectral index distribution with a mean of −0.7 and a standard deviation of 1.0. Both of these results indicate that for those RRATs that the LWA1 can detect, they are much brighter at these low frequencies. While the origin of the RRAT's transient pulsing nature cannot be significantly constrained by this study, we have demonstrated that RRATs do indeed continue to behave as transients in this little studied frequency band and that their pulse profiles are similar to those observed at higher frequencies. Both of the detected RRATs had DMs below 20 pc cm^{-3} while 14 of the 19 RRATs observed but not detected had DMs above this value, confirming the notion that scatter broadening of the pulses is an important factor at long wavelengths. Future studies of RRATs with the LWA or other low frequency instruments will likely provide further clues into RRATs, their emission mechanisms, and their relation to the many other subclasses of pulsars.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The LWA1 is a University Radio Observatory that is operated by the University of New Mexico. Construction of the LWA has been supported by the Office of Naval Research under Contract N00014-07-C-0147. Support for operations and continuing development of the LWA1 is provided by the National Science Foundation under grant AST-1139974 of the University Radio Observatory program. MAM and RM acknowledge support from the National Science Foundation under grant AST-1327526. We thank Jasson Hessels and an anonymous referee for constructive comments.

REFERENCES

Backer, D. C. 1970, Nature, 228, 42
Bates, S. D., Lorimer, D. R., & Verbiest, J. P. W. 2013, MNRAS, 431, 1352
Bhat, N. D. R., Cordes, J. M., Camilo, F., Nice, D. J., & Lorimer, D. R. 2004, ApJ, 605, 759
Boyles, J., Lynch, R. S., Ransom, S. M., et al. 2013, ApJ, 763, 80
Burke-Spolaor, S., & Bailes, M. 2010, MNRAS, 402, 855
Burke-Spolaor, S., Bailes, M., Johnston, S., et al. 2011, MNRAS, 416, 2465
Cordes, J. M. 2002, Single-Dish Radio Astronomy: Techniques and Applications, 278, 227
Cordes, J. M., & Lazio, T. J. W. 2003, arXiv:astro-ph/0301598
Cordes, J. M., & McLaughlin, M. A. 2003, ApJ, 596, 1142
Cordes, J. M., & Shannon, R. M. 2008, ApJ, 682, 1152

Deneva, J. S., Cordes, J. M., McLaughlin, M. A., et al. 2009, ApJ, 703, 2259
Deneva, J. S., Stovall, K., McLaughlin, M. A., et al. 2013, ApJ, 775, 51
Dowell, J., Wood, D., Stovall, K., et al. 2012, Journal of Astronomical Instrumentation , 1, 1250006
Eftekhari, T. et al. 2016, ApJ, submitted
Ellingson, S. W., Clarke, T. E., Craig, J., et al. 2013, ApJ, 768, 136
Hassall, T. E., Stappers, B. W., Hessels, J. W. T. et al. 2012, A&A, 543, 66
Hessels, J. W. T., Ransom, S. M., Kaspi, V. M., et al. 2008, 40 Years of Pulsars: Millisecond Pulsars, Magnetars and More, 983, 613
Karako-Argaman, C., Kaspi, V. M., Lynch, R. S., et al. 2015, ApJ, 809, 67
Karuppusamy, R., Stappers, B. W., & Lee, K. J. 2013, A&A, 538, 7
Keane, E. F. 2010, Proceedings of High Time Resolution Astrophysics - The Era of Extremely Large Telescopes (HTRA-IV). May 5 - 7, 2010. Agios Nikolaos, Crete Greece. Published online at *http://pos.sissa.it/cgi-bin/reader/conf.cgi?confid=108, id.15, 15*
Keane, E. F., Ludovici, D. A., Eatough, R. P., et al. 2010, MNRAS, 401, 1057
Keane, E. F., Kramer, M., Lyne, A. G., Stappers, B. W., & McLaughlin, M. A. 2011, MNRAS, 415, 3065
Lorimer, D. R. 2008, Living Reviews in Relativity, 11, 8
Lorimer, D. R., & Kramer, M. 2012, Handbook of Pulsar Astronomy, by D. R. Lorimer , M. Kramer, Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press
Lynch, R. S., Boyles, J., Ransom, S. M., et al. 2013, ApJ, 763, 81
Manchester, R. N., Lyne, A. G., Camilo, F., et al. 2001, MNRAS, 328, 17
McLaughlin, M. A., Lyne, A. G., Lorimer, D. R., et al. 2006, Nature, 439, 817
Ransom, S. M. 2001 PhD thesis, Harvard Univ.
Shitov, Y. P., Kuzmin, A. D., Dumskii, D. V., & Losovsky, B. Y. 2009, Astronomy Reports, 53, 561
Schinzel, F. & Polisensky, E. 2014, LWA Memo Series `http://www.phys.unm.edu/ lwa/memos`
Stovall, K., Ray, P. S., Blythe, J., et al. 2015, ApJ, 808, 156
Taylor, G. B., Ellingson, S. W., Kassim, N. E., et al. 2012, Journal of Astronomical Instrumentation , 1, 1250004-284
Weltevrede, P., Stappers, B. W., Rankin, J. M., & Wright, G. A. E. 2006, ApJL, 645, L149
Zhang, B., Gil, J., & Dyks, J. 2007, MNRAS, 374, 1103